

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/890,784	08/06/2001	Mari Niinikoski	1499/00049	8277
7590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER		
Burton A Am	iernick		WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I	
-	e Lodge & Hurtz		1 DE LOUE	D . DCTD XX 12 CD CD
19088 M Stree	t N.W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036-3425			1714	

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/890,784	1	NIINIKOSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
The SAAU INO DATE of this communication com		Wyrozebski Lee	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 August 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1003 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/890,784

Art Unit: 1714

In view of applicant's amendment to the claims mailed on 8/12/2003, following final office action has been necessitated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In the instant application, the applicant has amended claims 1, 4-7, 17-20 from reading "consisting of" to either "consisting essentially of" or "comprising", which are both broader terms than previously recited "consisting of". The specification as presented does not have support for applicant's amendment. On page 3, line 48 and page 4 of the applicant's

Application/Control Number: 09/890,784

Art Unit: 1714

specification, the only terms utilized are that of "consisting of". Since terms "consisting essentially of" and "comprising" allow presence of other components.

With respect to claim 1, which is now a product by process claim, the applicants have limitation of "process comprising...". Since the composition consists of the components are disclosed in the specification, incorporation of term "comprising" even in process steps allows for broader interpretation.

During updated search the examiner has found PG-PUB US 2001-0003760. The publication teaches the present invention, however it is not a prior art since 371 date of the present invention falls before filing date of the publication. Foreign priority applications of the PG-PUB are also published after 371 date of the present invention. Also, the PG-PUB cannot be used in the Double Patenting rejection since claims of the PG-PUB are oriented toward an additive whereas the claims of present invention are oriented towards polymer dispersion.

The rejections over the prior art of DEGGEN (US 4,835,212) and DEGGEN (US 4,855,343) are hereby overcome, since the prior art of record teaches degraded starch that has inherent viscosity of 0.04-0.12 dl/g, whereas the present invention requires the inherent viscosity to be greater than 1.0 dl/g. In fact DEGGEN teaches away from using starch, having viscosity greater than 1 dl/g since the starch of the prior art of degraded to much lower values using

Application/Control Number: 09/890,784

Art Unit: 1714

amylase, before it is utilized in dispersion. Due to discontinuation of this prior art against present claims the applicant's arguments are considered moot.

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-5875. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

KIWL

Primary Examiner

October 6, 2003